

THE C.A.S.H. COURIER

THE COMMITTEE TO ABOLISH SPORT HUNTING

A DIVISION OF WILDLIFE WATCH, INC.

© 2020 BY WILDLIFE WATCH, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Fall/Winter 2020/2021

MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of C.A.S.H. - Committee to Abolish Sport Hunting - is to accomplish what its name says in the shortest possible time. Understanding that abolishing hunting entails a process, a series of steps taken and not a single action that would effect our goal overnight, a time frame cannot be established. We hope for building a succession of wins, and if not wins immediately then at least a succession of stirrings of consciousness. We hope to encourage those who are still silent to speak out, awakening community after community about the heavy hand of state and federal wildlife management agencies. We hope to alter whatever belief still exists that sport hunters are conservationists and champions of the environment to a realization that they are destroyers of wildlife and ecosystems in the narrow and broad sense. Where the natural feeling for wildlife doesn't exist, we strive to engender among citizens outrage that their own rights are violated by legal hunting and their quality-of-life diminished.

Luke A. Dommer was the founder of the Committee to Abolish Sport Hunting. He was its president from 1976 until his passing in August 1992. Mr. Dommer's research and publications served as the foundation for the anti-hunting movement. He remains, through this organization, an invaluable and dedicated warrior in the battle to save wild animals, the environment, and general public from the silent economy that encourages and preys on the passion of a few to kill the wildlife that belongs to all.

Wildlife Watch Inc.

a 501(c)3 Not-for-Profit Corporation.
Contributions are tax-deductible.

C.A.S.H. COURIER

PO Box 562 New Paltz, NY 12561
845-256-1400 - Phone
wildwatch@verizon.net

Anne Muller - Editor

Robert Greenough - Desktop Publishing
www.wildwatch.org
www.abolishsport hunting.org

A Brief History of Hunting Animals to Extinction

TEXT AND WILDLIFE PHOTOGRAPHY ©JIM ROBERTSON

I could begin this historical overview with the Big Bang and the spreading out of all matter throughout the once-empty universe, followed by the resultant formation of stars and the planets which took up orbit around them, but for the sake of promised brevity, I'll skip ahead a few billion years and focus on the fully-formed, sufficiently-cooled Earth. And as far as the ongoing human-driven extinction spasm, our story must skip on to the final few moments of a 12-hour timescale.

If you're with me so far, we're talking about the arrival of the most cunning, ruthless, self-aggrandizing, overly-intelligent primate species ever to reach the dead-end at which we now find ourselves, thanks to hunting. Hunting and meat-eating in general.

Evolution is the process through which dinosaurs sprouted wings and

miniature ponies) and wolves led to dogs (resulting in pugs, poodles and Great Pyrenees).

Meanwhile, primates evolved from tree shrews not long (well, a couple



of million years) after the extinction of the (un-feathered) dinosaurs some sixty-five million years ago, branching out and diversifying over time to become plant-eating specialists in their chosen niches.

Humans and their direct fore-bearers were the only primates to follow the path of carnivism and become full-time predators of everyone else they came across, including other species of primates and hominids—many of whom were likely hunted to extinction early on in human evolution. No one can say which was the first species that humans wiped off the face of the planet, or when. Chances are it was another primate, somewhere between 100,000 and a million years ago.

No doubt any other hominids around at the time were hunted down and killed as competition. Homo Sapiens may not have always eaten their conquests, but modern-



<https://i.pinimg.com/images/search/dinosaur/?page=2>

gave rise to birds, horses grew from equines the size of miniature ponies to mustangs (while controlled breeding spawned thoroughbreds and behemoth Clydesdales—and actual

Please see BRIEF HISTORY Page 3

Letter from the President

Greetings C.A.S.H. Members and Supporters,

It's currently early archery season around here and that means any deer is fair game for impaling by any camouflage-clad killer out there wanting to score some bragging rights among his or her fellow conscienceless primitive wannabes. If survival of the most savage were a law of the jungle, bowhunters would be right up there with the most brutal.

A few decades ago, I worked with a guy in Montana who was about as fanatic a hunter as you'll ever meet. Each year by early Autumn, he would be scheming his next deer kill—never missing an opportunity to slay another wild animal.

An unrepentant killer of all things Cervidae, the only time he spoke of regret was after he'd decided to try bowhunting for a season. He was hiding in a tree-stand over a deer trail (a popular strategy, familiar to anyone keeping track of hunting accidents), when a “nice” buck approached within range of his powerful compound bow.

But the steel-tipped arrow he launched went right through the deer, who was able to get far away, before dying somewhere—never to be recovered. Even such a fanatical hunter was so sickened by what he'd done that he swore off bowhunting from that day on.

You don't have to take our word for it; if you don't want to believe us animal advocates that archery is the cruelest kill method in a hunter's quiver, take it from a die-hard “sportsman.”

Bowhunting is becoming a more and more common cull method among suburbanites wanting to protect their prize rose bushes or keep their highways deer-free to ensure they can race down them at maximum mph without taking another being's safety into account. (Of course, we know that's not the true subject of their concern, as they speed away in their new Subaru, Toyota or Ford F-150.)

But, just because it's quieter or somewhat safer for other recreationists, doesn't make bowhunting a reasonable, acceptable way to slaughter deer in city parks (or any-



where). And keeping non-consumptive users out of the woods so they won't witness the carnage is downright unfair to everyone except the would-be killers.

Sadly for any deer wary enough to survive the sport-archers intrusion into their wild homes, next week marks the start of “general” deer season. That means anyone with a gun and an unwholesome urge to kill will be out blasting at anything that moves or even remotely appears deer-like.

But you can count on C.A.S.H. To keep track of their follies and barbarism, and let you know whenever a hunter shoots or impales anyone of a non-game species (i.e., human), or just generally breaks the few rules governing their “sport” they've supposedly agreed to.

Visit: <http://abolishsportshunting.org/hunting-accidents/>

Thank you again for all of your support,

Jim

Jim Robertson

President, the Committee to Abolish Sport Hunting

Please see inside for C.A.S.H.'S participation in public hearings to stop the expansion of hunting.

SOME HIGHLIGHTS OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN UP TO

- Maintaining the C.A.S.H. hunting violations and accident pages
- Responding to email inquiries, comments
- Adding more content to the C.A.S.H. blog
- Alerted activists to the expanded hunting season in NY, generating many last-minute comments condemning a hunt
- Researching killing contests for future steps needed
- Attended Zoom hearing to oppose the expansion of hunting in the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge
- Presented C.A.S.H. comments there.
- Attended Wisconsin hearing about wildlife killing contests.

BRIEF HISTORY

Continued from page 1

day trophy hunters often don't bother to eat their kills either.

Other species hunted to extinction partly for hubris or bragging-rights included mammoths, mastodons and any other relative of today's elephants, as well as any early rhino or hippo human hunters could get their spears into.

Early species of giant armadillo and beaver, cave bear, camel, horse and ground sloth were all wiped out when pioneering pedestrians stumbled onto this continent full of unwary mega-fauna who had never met humans before and found their horns, hooves or bulk were no match for the weaponry of these new super-predators. This "American blitzkrieg" (as Jared Diamond, author of *The Third Chimpanzee*, *Collapse* and *Guns, Germs and Steel* labeled it) marked the tragic, catastrophic end of 75% of North America's indigenous large mammals, including the American lion, dire wolves and saber-toothed cats—none of whom were prepared for humans' hunting tactics.

Even back in the Pleistocene, so-called "modern" humans (not the ones of today's world, glued to their smartphones), armed only with primitive weapons, quickly wiped out the noble mega-fauna that had taken millions of years to evolve.

The Pleistocene was a time of great diversity of life—it was in fact the most diverse period the Earth has ever known—but a few centuries after our species were on the scene they had already hacked away at Nature's masterpiece and started an unparalleled extinction event. It was the first time that one intelligent species was responsible for eternally snuffing out so many of its larger-bodied brethren. No other species had caused the kind of damage as did this cleverly destructive, self-centered, weapon-wielding primate.

Early in pre-human evolution, bipedalism became a necessity for primate-predators, if only to free up



Photo © Jim Robertson

a couple of appendages to carry clubs and spears—followed by bows, rifles and harpoon cannons. It seems our species never took the time (until now?) to look back to their earliest days of living by plant-eating. But, if a 500 lb gorilla can, surely the human primate can survive without animal flesh.

One of our species' closest relatives is the orangutan, a highly intelligent primate who, like the gorilla, wouldn't be caught dead eating meat. Both species are among the most critically endangered animals on Earth, hunted (poached) nearly to extinction outside zoos or other captive situations.

Human beings are one of four currently living species of "great" apes, including gorillas, chimpanzees and orangutans. Only one of those species is grossly (morbidly) overpopulated and routinely eats the flesh of other animals to excess. Care to guess which one? (No fair peaking at the shiny front cover of the grocery store ads that magically end up in your mailbox or the ubiquitous golden arches on the street corner.) Of course, it's the humans. It's interesting that the USDA still places meat and dairy in their essential food pyramid, when no other primate really needs those things. Hmm, it seems that the government is wrong about that. Do you suppose? Perhaps they need to take another

look at that in context of the situations the world now faces.

The only one of our closest ape cousins still clinging to existence on the planet who has been observed to step out of the plant eating regimen of the monkeys, non-human great apes and other primates are the chimpanzees, who, while normally peaceful plant-eaters, will on rare occasions venture out on violent forays, killing and eating monkeys or other hapless creatures they come upon. Once a kill is made, the real excitement begins for the chimps, who loudly advertise their blood lust with whoops and screams, proclaiming their conquest.

Therein lies a grim parallel and exposes the roots of modern human's sport hunting behavior.

Continuing on our rapid flash forward, we enter the European Middle Ages and a period when animals were farmed to feed the peasantry, while hunting became a sport reserved for the "elite." Wolf trapping, sometimes practiced by lower castes, was smiled upon by the royalty since it took out the competition for *their* prized game species: stags, elk and other horned "lordly game" creatures, as Teddy Roosevelt would

Please see
BRIEF HISTORY Page 4

BRIEF HISTORY

Continued from page 3

later dub them.

Speaking of Teddy, let's skip ahead to Roosevelt's era. After Europeans had made it their task to "settle" the New World, they infamously hunted the plains bison to near extinction in the 1800's. During that same period, over-zealous hunters completely killed off the once amazingly abundant passenger pigeon and Eskimo curlew (both killed en masse and sold by the cartload for pennies apiece), the Carolina parakeet (the only parrot native to the U.S.), the great auk (a flightless, North Atlantic answer to the penguin) and the Steller's sea cow (a Coastal Alaskan relative of the manatee). Of sea cows, the 19th Century German zoologist Georg Wilhelm Steller wrote in his journal that this peaceful, plant-eating herd animal showed "...signs of a wonderful intelligence...an uncommon love for one another, which even extended so far that, when one of them was hooked, all the others were intent upon saving him."

Meanwhile, elk, bighorn sheep, wolves, grizzly bears and prairie dogs—once hunted, trapped and poisoned down to mere fractions of their original populations—continue to be targeted today. And when certain

species, such as black bears, Canada geese and coyotes prove to have adapted to the human-dominated world, they are hated, hunted and trapped with a vengeance.

In the words of the Fund For Animals founder, Cleveland Amory, "Theodore Roosevelt...could not be faulted for at least some efforts in the field of conservation. But here the praise must end. When it came to killing animals, he was close to psychopathic." Dangerously close indeed (think: Ted Bundy).

In his two-volume, *African Game Trails*, Roosevelt lovingly muses over shooting elephants, hippos, buffaloes, lions, cheetahs, leopards, giraffe, zebra, hartebeest, impala, pigs, the less-formidable 30-pound steenbok and even a mother ostrich on her nest.

But don't let on to a hunter what you think of their esteemed idol, because, as Mr. Amory wrote in his book, *Mankind? Our Incredible War on Wildlife* "...the least implication anywhere that hunters are not the worthiest souls since the apostles drives them into virtual paroxysms of self-pity." Amory goes on to write, "...the hunter, seeing there would soon be nothing left to kill, seized

upon the new-fangled idea of 'conservation' with a vengeance. Soon they had such a stranglehold [think: Ted Nugent] on so much of the movement that the word itself was turned from the idea of protecting and saving the animals to the idea of raising and using them—for killing. The idea of wildlife 'management'—for man, of course—was born."

Almost without exception, state and federal wildlife "managers" are hunters themselves. Being both delegates and lackeys for the hunting industry, they would have us believe the preposterous party line that hunting helps animals—that they won't continue to live unless we kill them. This is particularly outrageous in light of how many species have been wiped off the face of the earth, or nearly so, exclusively by human hunting.

Nowadays, hunting season is like a bunch of weapon-wielding, over-sized pre-schoolers on an Easter egg hunt creeping around the back-roads hoping a deer will jump out in front of them and stand still long enough for them to get a shot off. It doesn't even have to be a good, clear shot, either. I've heard hunters bragging about taking a few "sound shots" at whatever they heard in the bushes, as if blasting their noisy rifles is the main reason to be out there (never mind the target).

But, it's never quite as satisfyingly thrilling for them as making an actual kill, the carcass of which they are fond of displaying on the hoods or in the open beds of their brand new \$60,000 pickup trucks.

"Survival" of the fittest? Don't even get me started...

This article includes excerpts from the book, *Exposing the Big Game: Living Targets of a Dying Sport*

Jim Robertson is the President of C.A.S.H. and the author of *Exposing the Big Game: Living Targets of a Dying Sport*

<https://www.animalsinthewild.com>



Photo © Jim Robertson

COMMITTEE TO ABOLISH SPORT HUNTING PARTICIPATES IN THE KENAI NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE LIVE PUBLIC HEARING

BY VICTORIA CYMBAL



Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska

On 10/27/2020, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) held a public hearing titled, "Proposed Rule Change to Public Use in Kenai National Wildlife Refuge."

The Alaska National Wildlife Refuge System staff of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service published a proposed rule to amend the public use regulations for Kenai National Wildlife Refuge on June 11, 2020 that would weaken hunting restrictions on the wildlife refuge land.

The proposed rule can be seen here:

<https://www.federalregister.gov/comments/2020/06/11/2020-11024-10241/https://www.federalregister.gov/comments/2020/06/11/2020-11024-10241/https://www.federalregister.gov/comments/2020/06/11/2020-11024-10241/>

In summary, the regulatory changes proposed by this rule include:

- allowing the harvesting of brown bears at registered bait stations
- allowing for trapping under State law without a Federal permit
- allowing the discharge of firearms along the Kenai and Russian Rivers at select times of the year
- increasing access by bicycles and game carts and allowing snowmobiles, all-terrain vehicles and utility task vehicles on certain lakes when there is a sufficient amount of snow and ice.

The state claims that the purpose of the rule is to align with Alaska's regulations and management of fish and

wildlife and the Federal law brought forth by the Trump administration. The current administration has been actively working towards expanding hunting territory and debilitating hunting restrictions since 2017 when President Donald Trump persisted that Republicans repeal a federal restriction on hunting predators.

During the public hearing on June 11, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service received many requests from Alaskan and out-of-state residents to extend the public comment period past the initial 60-day period. The USFWS decided to allow comments from the public until Nov. 9. As required by Service regulations, a public hearing via teleconference on Zoom was held on Oct. 27. **The Oct. 28 public hearing, the day for which C.A.S.H. had registered to comment was cancelled without further explanation as to why.**

The hearing on the 27th took place at 4 p.m. Alaska Daylight Time (8 pm in NY) and proceeded for about 4 hours. With over 70 participants involved in the teleconference, a range of state agencies and depart-

ments, as well as Alaskan residents, were able to share their opinions about the proposed rule.

Over tens of thousands of people all over the country had shared their opinion where the majority of the comments were similar concerns and oppositions regarding the proposed changes to the regulation.

Many of the public comments were similar in language stating in so many words, "I urge you to reject the proposed regulation that would roll back protections for bears and other animals on the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge. Refugees should be places of safety for wild animals not playgrounds for trophy hunters."

Americans nationwide made it clear that they fully oppose the revision of rules that would allow for trophy hunting on national land that is meant to be a **wildlife refuge**.

To read the proposed amendments and submit a comment electronically, visit www.regulations.gov and search Docket Number: FWS-R7-NWRS-2017-0058.

Please see **KENAI NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE** Page 6

KENAI NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Continued from page 5

Victoria Cymal is beginning to intern at C.A.S.H. She had fortunately registered for the first hearing, thanks to an alert by **Wilderness Watch** www.wildernesswatch.org

that was sent to us by C.A.S.H. member **Richard Firth**. C.A.S.H. quickly sent her the official C.A.S.H. comment. You can see it below.

C.A.S.H. COMMENTS AT THE KENAI NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE PUBLIC HEARING 10/28/2020

COMMENTS PRESENTED BY VICTORIA CYMBAL

Should hunting and trapping be expanded in the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge?

The Committee to Abolish Sport Hunting strongly opposes this expansion, and would, in fact, like to see the reversal of hunting and trapping here and elsewhere.

The larger issue that is mostly hidden from the public and general taxpayer is that the excise taxes on every bullet and firearm used against animals in our forests and fields, and used against people in the cities, pays into the USFWS and state wildlife management bureaus to promote more use of firearms and ammunition in our fields and forests.

The only way to stop management of our wildlife for this purpose is for the public to prevent their tax

dollars in their state general fund from supporting this circular and exclusive economy that you see in action now. Millions of dollars of your taxes and mine are supplementing the management of wildlife to be recipients of bullets, while human victims of bullets are left to pay for their hospitalizations on their own, and families are left to pay for funerals of family members.

State General Funds must STOP supplementing the systemic slaughter of our wild animals. An economy built on wildlife watching must replace firearms as the foundation of wildlife management. For more info, visit: www.abolishsport hunting.org

It's All the Same To the Victim

TEXT AND WILDLIFE PHOTOGRAPHY © JIM ROBERTSON

Lately we've been hearing from a lot of holier-than-thou types quick to make a distinction between sport and subsistence hunters. Truth is, there's not all that much difference between the two. Sport hunters and pseudo-subsistence hunters are often such close kin they're practically kissin' cousins. I know a lot of hunters, but I've never met one who didn't boast about "using the meat." By the same token, I've never met anyone who openly admitted to being just a sport hunter.

There are a lot of needy poor folk out there these days, including myself, but I don't know anyone who really needs to kill animals to survive. Like sport hunters, subsistence hunters do what they do because they want to, they enjoy the "lifestyle." If one thing differentiates the two, it's that meat hunters have an even stronger sense of entitlement.

But, everyone has a right to feed themselves and their family, don't they? Well, does everyone—all 7.8 billion humans and counting—have the right to subsist off the backs of other animals when there are more humane and sustainable ways to feed ourselves? How many self-proclaimed "subsistence" hunters are willing to give up all their modern conveniences—their warm house, their car, their cable TV or their ever-present and attendant "reality" film



Photo © Jim Robertson

crew—and live completely off the land like a Neanderthal? Not many I'm sure—at least not indefinitely.

It's unclear what makes some folks believe they have the right to exploit wildlife as an easy source of protein, but animal flesh is by no means the safest or healthiest way for humans to get it. While a steady diet of decaying meat slowly rots your system, millions of vibrant people have found a satisfying and healthy way to eat that doesn't involve preying on others.

BANNING KILLING CONTESTS: AN ARDUOUS PATH TO LAWS WITH LOOPHOLES GALORE

BY ANNE MULLER



A killing contest is one of the more egregious aspects of sport hunting, and we at C.A.S.H. applaud the efforts of many organizations that have been on this journey for years with some success. We especially applaud **Project Coyote** for being the prime mover of successful laws that protect coyotes and other animals from contests in certain states.

The **League of Humane Voters/NY** has been presenting a bill that would ban killing contests in their entirety (no ifs, ands, or buts) for many years, yet each year passes without the bill passing into law.

There are presently seven states that have laws to ban killing contests to some degree. The states are: Arizona, California, Colorado, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Vermont, and Washington.

If there are demonstrations, a rallying cry for New York could be, "If California can do it, so can we! Make NY killing contest free!"

Out of interest and to better understand how other states succeeded, we decided to take a look at the existing laws. We're presenting them here to see if you notice any wiggle room for the folks who would participate in or host a killing contest.

C.A.S.H. is giving a prize to anyone who detects loopholes so that they can be plugged up in future statutes (laws passed by a legislature) or regulations (laws passed by an agency).

LAWS BANNING KILLING CONTEST IN THE SEVEN STATES

ARIZONA KILLING CONTEST LAW

[Arizona Admin. Code Section R12-4-303](#) in 2019

4. A person shall not by any means:
- Participate in, organize, promote, sponsor, or solicit participation in a contest where a participant uses or intends to use any device or implement to capture or kill predatory animals or fur-bearing animals as defined under A.R.S. § 17-101. For the purposes of this subsection, "contest" means a competition among participants where participants must register or record entry and pay a fee and prizes or cash are awarded to winning or successful participants.

CALIFORNIA

[California Fish and Game Code Section 2003](#) in 2014

(a) Except as specified in subdivisions (b), (c), and (d), it is unlawful to offer a prize or other inducement as a reward for the taking of a game bird, mammal, fish, reptile, or amphibian in an individual contest, tournament, or derby.

(b) The department may issue a permit to a person authorizing that person to offer a prize or other inducement as a reward for the taking of a game fish, as defined by the commission by regulation, if it finds that there would be no detriment to the resource. The permit is subject to regulations adopted by the commission. The application for the permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount determined by the department as necessary to cover the reasonable administrative costs incurred by the department in issuing the permit. However, the department may waive the permit fee if the contest, tournament, or derby is for persons who are under 16 years of age or have a physical or mental disability, and the primary purpose of the contest, tournament, or derby is to introduce those anglers to or educate them about fishing. All permits for which the fee is waived pursuant to this subdivision shall comply with all other requirements set forth in this section.

(c) This section does not apply to a person conducting what is generally known as a frog-jumping contest, or, in waters of the Pacific Ocean, what is generally known as a fish contest.

(d) This section does not apply to a person conducting an individual contest, tournament, or derby for the taking of a game bird or mammal, if the total value of all prizes or other inducements is less than five hundred dollars (\$500) for the individual contest, tournament, or derby.

Please see **KILLING CONTESTS Page 8**

KILLING CONTESTS

Continued from page 7

COLORADO

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 33-6-118 in 2020

It is unlawful for any person to advertise, conduct or offer to conduct, or otherwise promote or participate in any contest or competition involving two or more persons and the monetary payment or awarding of any other prize when the object of the contest or competition involves the killing of any big game or the display for comparison of any big game or any part thereof. Certificates issued by organizations solely for registration and recognition of animals legally taken are not prohibited. Any person who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of five hundred dollars and an assessment of twenty license suspension points.

MASSACHUSETTS

2.16 : Prohibition on Contests for the Capture, Take or Waste of Predator and Furbearer Animals in 2019

It shall be unlawful for any person to organize, sponsor, promote, conduct or participate in a contest in which participants compete for prizes or other inducements that results in the capture, take or waste of those predatory or furbearing animals regulated by the Division pursuant to [321 CMR 3.02\(3\) or 3.02\(5\)\(b\)\(2.\) and \(5.-11.\)](#).

NEW MEXICO



New Mexico Stat. § 30-18-16 in 2019

- A. It is unlawful for a person to organize, cause, sponsor, arrange, hold or participate in a coyote-killing contest.
- B. As used in this section, "coyote-killing contest" means an organized or sponsored competition with the objective of killing coyotes for prizes or entertainment.
- C. Organizing, causing, sponsoring, arranging or holding a coyote-killing contest consists of a person knowingly: (1) planning, organizing or enticing a person to participate in a coyote-killing contest; or (2) providing the venue for a coyote-killing contest.

D. Participation in a coyote-killing contest consists of a person knowingly taking part in a coyote-killing contest.

E. A person who organizes, causes, sponsors, arranges or holds a coyote-killing contest is guilty of a misdemeanor.

F. A person who participates in a coyote-killing contest is guilty of a petty misdemeanor.

G. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit a person from protecting a person or property or the state game commission from carrying out the statutory authority allowed by Chapter 17 NMSA 1978 in a non-coyote-killing contest setting.

VERMONT

Sec. 14. 10 V.S.A. § 4716 COYOTE-HUNTING COMPETITIONS; PROHIBITION in 2018

(a) As used in this section, "coyote-hunting competition" means a contest in which people compete in the capturing or taking of coyotes for a prize. No. 170 Page 15 of 17 2018 VT LEG #333636 v.1

(b) A person shall not hold or conduct a coyote-hunting competition in the State.

(c) A person shall not participate in a coyote-hunting competition in the State.

(d) A person who violates this section shall be fined not more than \$1,000.00 nor less than \$400.00 for a first offense. Upon a second and all subsequent convictions or any conviction while under license suspension related to the requirements of part 4 of this title, a person who violates this section shall be fined not more than \$4,000.00 nor less than \$2,000.00.

It's interesting to note that this was an actual statute that passed without the governor's signature on 5/22/2018. David Deen, the sponsor, retired that year.

For comment by the VT governor, which offers insight about why he did not veto the law but let it pass without his signature, please see this website:

<https://www.vnews.com/Coyote-hunting-contests-banned-in-Vermont-17770235>

It's clear that the bill bundled together the ban with reforms that were advantageous to the game department.

WASHINGTON

Wash. Rev. Code § 77.32.540 in 2020

A person shall not promote, conduct, hold, or sponsor a contest for the hunting or fishing of wildlife or a competitive field trial involving live wildlife for hunting dogs without first obtaining a hunting or fishing contest permit. Contests and field trials shall be held in accordance with established rules.

Please see **KILLING CONTESTS Page 9**

KILLING CONTESTS

Continued from page 8

C.A.S.H.'s PRIZE FOR THE MOST INSPIRING AND COMPLETE LAW OPPOSING KILLING CONTESTS GOES TO THE NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS.

Stephanie Garcia Richard assumed office on January 1, 2019. Then, faster than the speed of light, on January 10th, she issued her VERY FIRST executive order: **Commissioner Garcia Richard banned killing contests on 9,000 acres of land under her jurisdiction!**

Whether she would like a shoutout from C.A.S.H. or not, we feel that Commissioner Stephanie Garcia Richard deserves to win a prize for having the strongest law of all. Please let us know if you agree.

Her words are worth printing in their entirety as reported in the official press release...

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE January 10, 2019 Contact: Tarin Nix, Deputy Commissioner of Public Affairs 505.490.6874 tnix@slo.state.nm.us Garcia Richard Signs Executive Order



Photo from public press release NM SLO

Banning Killing Contests on State Trust Land SANTA FE, NM – SANTA FE, NM – Commissioner Stephanie Garcia signed her first Executive Order (2019 – 001) today banning killing contests on State Trust Lands. As she signed the order, Garcia Richard was joined by animal and wildlife advocates from Animal Protection Voters, The Sierra Club, Project Coyote, Wild Earth Guardians, Prairie Dog Pals and Wildlife Conservation Advocacy Southwest. *“These are not hunting contests. They are animal cruelty contests. It is an inexcusable practice, and today I used my authority to ban organized killing contests of unprotected species on any of the nine million acres of State Trust Land that I am charged with overseeing.”* Garcia Richard stated in her remarks. *“The position of the State Land Office under my direction is that all wildlife are sacred and all wildlife play a vital role in our environment. This action does not restrict a rancher’s ability to humanely remove or kill an animal causing harm to agriculture or domestic pets on State Trust Lands. What we are addressing is the blood sport where participants kill dozens of animals without sound justification and play for cash and prizes,”* Garcia Richard added.

Of the Order, Jessica Johnson, Chief Legislative Officer for Animal Protection Voters said, “Commissioner Garcia Richard has a long history of advocacy and leadership on important wildlife protection and land conservation issues. She has risen to the occasion at every opportunity to be a proud voice of the humane treatment of animals and sound land management. She proved that today by banning inhumane killing contests of unprotected species.”

Aside from today’s Executive Order, she has successfully pushed for the Oil Conservation Commission to rehear an application from a Texas oil company that would allow them to double their drilling presence in the San Juan Basin and has sparked an important conversation on the use of traps and snares on state trust and public lands.

[Note that later in 2019, the NM Legislature voted to ban coyote killing contests (See NM statute above).]

SUMMARY OF KILLING CONTEST LAWS

STATES	DATE ENACTED	STATUTE OR REG	SPECIES SPECIFIED	GAME DIVISION
ARIZONA	Sep-19	R	PREDATORY AND FURBEARING	AZ GAME AND FISH COMMISSION
CALIFORNIA	2014	R	PREDATORS	CA FISH AND GAME COMMISSION
COLORADO	May-20	R	SMALL GAME AND FURBEARERS	CO PARKS & WILDLIFE COMMISSION
MASSACHUSETTS	Dec-19	R	PREDATORS AND FURBEARERS	MA FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE BOARD
NEW MEXICO	1/10/2019	EXEC. ORDER	TOTAL HUNTING CONTEST BAN	NM LAND COMMISSIONER
	6/1/2019	S	COYOTES ONLY	
VERMONT	2018	S	COYOTES ONLY	Bill sponsored by David Deen (retired)
WASHINGTON	Sep-20	R	Protects species that have no bag limits	WA FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION

PLEASE DON'T BUY DUCK STAMPS THE CONSERVATION MESSAGE: DUCK CALLS AND PLASTIC SHOTGUN SHELLS

All waterfowl hunters MUST purchase a duck stamp every year, it's their permit to hunt waterfowl. Every year the stamp art changes. Selection of the new art gets a lot of attention.

Not only do hunters purchase the stamp, but many non-hunters purchase the stamp believing that they are supporting conservation, but not fully understanding how they are supporting hunting.

A recent Audubon article about the new federal guidelines for duck stamp art is a must-read. You can see it here:

<https://www.audubon.org/news/duck-stamp-artists-are-gone-shotgun-shells-not-new-guns-hunting-matters>

Incredibly, the US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) has now changed the stamp's name to "The Bird Hunting Stamp." The money goes toward the purchase of land for waterfowl hunting. They claim that 98 percent of the purchase price goes directly to help acquire and protect wetland habitat and purchase conservation easements for the National Wildlife Refuge System.

The new contest rules demand that the message of hunting be put into the picture of conservation. This demand to prospective artists unbelievably appears on the FWS website: <https://www.fws.gov/birds/get-involved/duck-stamp/duck-stamp-contest-and-event-information.php>

It says:

You must also include waterfowl hunting related accessory or scene that illustrates the theme "celebrating our waterfowl hunting heritage." Waterfowl hunting related accessories include, but are not limited to, such elements such as hunting dogs and waterfowl decoys.

Now that the election has been called for Biden, C.A.S.H. is free to say that Trump has been a disaster for wild animals. It's not a secret that his son, Don Jr., has



been working overtime to ensure more hunting opportunity in the country, as hunting is a favorite pastime of the two Trump sons. Even the Audubon, which has cooperated with the US Fish and Wildlife Service in furthering their goals, and never speaks out against hunting, voiced their disapproval of guidelines that wanted hunting litter added to the bird stamps that waterfowl hunters need to purchase.

In the photo here, the winner of the 2020 contest shows a bird next to lost duck calls used by hunters to lure them to their death.

Understandably, someone at Audubon feels that spent shells floating in the water, along with other hunting debris counteracts the conservation image.

At C.A.S.H. we know that this is *truly* the CONSERVATION message.

IN MEMORY OF PRISCILLA COHN FERRATER MORA, AND LINDA DESTEFANO

C.A.S.H. was terribly sorry to learn that two of the best wildlife protectors we've known had passed away. We will always remember and cherish them and the work they did on behalf of animals. What is written about them doesn't begin to express how much they added to our movement and all they were.

Linda's obituary can be seen here:

<https://www.syracuse.com/crime/2020/06/longtime-syracuse-activist-was-leaving-friends-funeral-when-she-was-hit-killed-by-car.html>

Priscilla's obituary can be seen here:

<https://www.inquirer.com/obituaries/priscilla-cohn-jose-ferrater-mora-professor-heiress-publicker-fortune-animal-activist-20190702.html>

THE FAMILY THAT SLAYS TOGETHER STAYS TOGETHER!*

THE DEC WANTS A HOLIDAY HUNTING SEASON!



Believe it or not, the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) ACTUALLY BELIEVES THAT !

Witness their proposal for a “Holiday Hunting Season”:

3. Needs and benefits: This rulemaking will provide additional opportunity for New York deer hunters and their families by creating a second portion of the late bow and muzzleloading deer seasons in the Southern Zone, adding the holiday week of December 26 through January 1. During the Christmas to New Year holiday week families gather, many people are off from work, and students are on school break. This provides time for families to hunt together, share memories and make new ones, and gather venison for the next year. This new opportunity is consistent with the department's efforts to recruit, retain, and reactivate New York hunters.

THANKS TO A WILDLIFE REHABBER, C.A.S.H. was made aware of the DEC's nefarious proposal for a holiday hunting season just two days before the comment period ended, and C.A.S.H. sent out the following, which was also turned into our comment:

URGENT DEADLINE: COMMENTS NEEDED BY 11/8, TO OPPOSE A BOW AND MUZZLELOADER DEER HUNTING SEASON DURING CHRISTMAS AND NEW YEAR, THROUGH JAN 1!

Comment points to make in opposition to this proposal:

The DEC is desperate to increase hunting as the cruel activity is declining. Hunters are less than 3% of the NY population, yet our wildlife is managed to be targets for this small minority. The game division is funded by excise taxes on firearms and ammunition thus, they have turned our wildlife into targets for hunters.

Deer hunting now would end on December 22nd. We need to ensure that the majority of New Yorkers can enjoy the holiday without muzzleloaders blasting throughout the day, and without witnessing animals who've been injured by firearms or arrows. That surely will ruin the holiday for the 97% of the public.

- As Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD) is killing many deer now, it's puzzling that the DEC is encouraging even more deer to die by bullets.

- Car-deer collisions have been shown to increase as hunting starts, surely there will be more injury and death as deer flee hunters during a time when more cars will be on the road as people travel to be with family.

- More lead will be pumped into the environment as it is not illegal to use lead bullets or shot in NY. Lead ammunition seeps into the ground water, and poisons raptors and carrion feeders who eat animals shot with lead. For heartbreaking video of what lead does to animals, please see: <https://www.facebook.com/fffwildlifecenter/>

We urge the DEC to do the right thing and not extend this carnage.

Zelda Penzel has a loud megaphone for anything that helps animals, and she sent the word out farther. We're printing some of the comments that were shared with Zelda.

Comments

I urge the DEC not to add a Christmas-to-New Year's-Day deer hunting season in the Southern Zone.

Deer are sentient beings. They play, care deeply for their young, warn one another in times of danger, and

Please see FAMILY THAT SLAYS, Page 12

FAMILY THAT SLAYS

Continued from page 11

experience fear, anger, and grief. They have individual personalities. And they want to live as much as we do. There's already too much killing of them.

In addition, they currently suffer from new diseases, and the holiday season will be a time of more cars on the road. Hunters will increase their deaths by scaring them out of the woods onto roads.

Have mercy.

William Crane

We are very much opposed to extending the 2020 deer hunt for an additional 7 days during the holiday season.

Considering that the number of licenses sold for this year apparently broke records, it should not be a hardship for hunters to forego this extension, especially when it inconveniences and presents potential danger to others.

We expect to have several grandchildren here, with their pets, which are an addition to our own. They all spend considerable time playing outside in our rural location. In general, I am very glad to know when hunting season is over, so this holiday "surprise" is most unwelcome to our family. We do not wish to keep children and pets indoors, for their own safety, in order to accommodate additional hunting opportunities which are already very liberal.

Again, we are definitely NOT in favor of the plan to extend deer hunting season in the southern zone.

G.C.

I am writing to express my opposition to this Holiday Deer Hunt Proposal which I find to be an audacious, self-serving intrusion by the DEC on the rights of 97% of the non-hunting population of New York! The right to "peace and quiet", free from the sights and sounds of senseless violence, suffering, bloodshed and killing, and especially during the sacred period of the Christmas and Kwanzaa holidays is surely due us, after a year that will go down in the annals of history for the suffering and hardships it has caused to so many people – with the deaths and illness due to Covid-19, as well as loss of jobs and income!

The DEC is apparently desperate to increase hunting, as this cruel activity is declining. Hunters are less than 3% of the New York population, yet our wildlife is still managed to be targets for this small minority. The game division is funded by excise taxes on firearms and ammu-



tree Photo credit Unsplash.com by Edik Niko, Deer – Unsplash.com by Jason Grove

munition, thus the DEC have turned our wildlife into targets for hunters. The handwriting is on the wall and it is long past time to find alternate ways to fund the DEC. **Teaching young people to be compassionate, to "hunt" with cameras, to view, appreciate and value wildlife and the outdoors, while learning to live in harmony with other species, is what would demonstrate true, responsible, leadership and stewardship.**

Deer hunting now would end on December 22nd. We need to ensure that the majority of New Yorkers can enjoy the holiday without muzzleloaders blasting throughout the day, and without witnessing animals who've been injured by firearms or arrows. That surely will ruin the holiday for the 97% of the public.

· As Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD) is killing many deer now, so it's puzzling that the

DEC is encouraging even more deer to die by bullets.

· Car-deer collisions have been shown to increase as hunting starts, surely there will be more injury and death as deer flee hunters during a time when more cars will be on the road as people travel to be with family.

· More lead will be pumped into the environment as it is not illegal to use lead bullets or shot in New York. Lead ammunition seeps into the ground water, and poisons raptors and carrion feeders who eat animals shot with lead. For heartbreaking video of what that does to animals, please see: <https://www.facebook.com/fffwildlifecenter/>

We urge the DEC to do the right thing and at the very least not extend this carnage.

Zelda Penzel



...And think about this; In order to hunt during this special season, you would need to buy a muzzleloader and ammunition for a muzzleloader. That will increase revenue for the firearms industry, thus increasing income for the NYS Bureau of Wildlife within the DEC.

*An Ann Ilkiw slogan

HOW NON-HUNTERS ARE AFFECTED BY HUNTING

LTE BY MELANIE WEBERG TELLS IT LIKE IT IS!

(MELANIE WEBERG IS THE STATE DIRECTOR OF LOHV/WISCONSIN)

Dear Editor: As a Wisconsin citizen, I would bet that you think the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources works for you and other state citizens. Unfortunately you would be wrong. On everything that the Wisconsin DNR is statutorily required to "manage," from wildlife to environmental enforcement, they have to answer to and get approval from the seven unelected members of the Natural Resources Board.

Why is this an issue you may ask? It is an issue because the NRB is stacked with political appointees that often make decisions on the very things that put money in their own pockets and those of their ideological allies. One member, the board chair, has the eminent environmental qualification of being a dentist, another a

realtor, another a hunting equipment "outfitter," and founder of a hunting lobbying group, and yet another is the former president of, you guessed it, a big agriculture industry lobbying group.

Even more appalling is that the voice of the average citizen that does not hunt or farm is essentially muted through law because the majority of the political appointees are required to have a hunting license or be part of agriculture. In other words, the voices of the 90% of citizens that aren't a part of these special interests are brushed off and ignored by the walking and talking conflicts of interest sitting on the board. Don't believe me? Watch any of the Natural Resources Board hearings on the DNR website and you will see hubris,

eye rolling, misinformation, and a total disregard for non-consumptive citizen input from several of these board members on every topic from water pollution to wolves. If you aren't part of their ideological niche your voice does not matter. 10% lord-ing over and discounting the 90%? How is this democracy or representative government?

*Melanie Weberg
Osceola*

If you wish to send a letter, you can do so here: tetvoice@madison.com. Include your full name, hometown and phone number. Your name and town will be published. The phone number is for verification purposes only. Please keep your letter to 250 words or less.

Blood Trail From a Wounded Deer Turns Joy to Sorrow, Rage, & Pain



Lucy McKernan, a C.A.S.H. member, has been actively trying to stop bow hunting in her neighborhood. She sent images of a horrifying blood trail across a popular hiking path and wrote:

Unfortunately, my city allows bow hunting. It's legal!

I'm feeling a lot of rage and I'm crying a lot. One of the only sources of reducing stress that I have in my life right now is to go out walking and I feel I've been robbed of that.

I also keep imagining this poor deer flailing around gurgling on her own blood.

Over the years, the **C.A.S.H. Courier** has been giving a voice to people who have been terribly affected by hunting. While hunters are only 3% of the population, unfair laws protect this "sport" that causes so much grief, angst, and wildlife death.

We've been pointing out that wildlife management divisions are the only recipients of the firearms excise tax but, to receive it, they must manage for hunting -- for more use of firearms and ammo. **Whether gun control organizations like it or not, they will need to rout out the firearms industry inside our government, and stop appealing to the foxes (the governors) who are guarding the hen houses.**



Dear Amy,



Dear Amy,

This time of year is the worst. We try to keep the deer safe, but it's challenging because hunters apparently don't know how to read posted signs! Do you have any advice?

Sally J. Walker, Lebanon, ME

Dear Sally,

Something tells me you're not just dealing with cases of illiteracy here. Almost everyone I know who owns property has dealt with trespassing hunters at one time or another. Although state wildlife agencies refer to them as "a few bad apples," trespassing is often the rule, rather than the exception, among hunters.

First, make sure your land is properly posted according to your state's guidelines. My family and I replace our signs annually since they weather and fade. We indicate the current year on each sign. We also photograph our property lines prior to hunting season so that a hunter can't destroy a sign and then claim it wasn't there. Additionally, because you've already had trespassing issues, you might be able to request special signs from your sheriff's department. These signs state that the property is being "surveilled," and may be more of a deterrent.

I recommend using outdoor cameras that connect with your phone or computer for instant viewing. I am technologically challenged, and I assure you, it's easier than it sounds! Not only will this allow you to monitor your property, you'll have documentation if trespassing occurs.

I've found more success in staying off our land during hunting season, and monitoring with cameras, since the deer KNOW where it's safe. My biggest fear is scaring them out of their hiding places and onto adjacent, hunted land. However, every property is different. A friend of mine has a very large property—over 100 acres—and has had success with perimeter patrolling. If you patrol, be careful. Wear orange and carry your phone with you. We're often not dealing with the brightest or kindest folks out there.

Finally, think about what you can do to keep the deer on your property. Is there a water source? Is there cover for them? We used to mow a field on our land, but have let it go wild to provide more cover. Selective mowing can also keep deer close. They love hidden areas, along with areas to browse.

May the deer find shelter on your land this season. May you all stay safe.

Amy

Hey Amy,

I'm guessing you saw the recent viral video of a cougar stalking that hiker in Utah. I'm a proud Montana sportsman (hunting, trapping, fishing, you name it) and what I can never understand about all you crazies out to steal our rights is what kind of fantasy world you live in. Do you seriously think we can "coexist" with predators who stalk people? Do you just not get how vicious wild animals are? Instead of you cidiots pitching a fit about a sportsman posting a photo of the predator they've harvested, maybe you should say "THANK YOU!" instead. We're the ones keeping you and your kids and pets safe.

Brent David Leffler, somewhere near Bozeman, MT

Dear Brent,

Yes, I've seen the video you're referring to! For those who haven't, it's worth a watch, but I'll summarize here: A lone human hiker encounters cougar kittens, and is promptly escorted from those kittens by their protective mom who sometimes runs at the hiker as he backs away and films, but who mostly keeps him marching slowly backward, away from her kittens, before turning around and high-tailing it home to them. Start to finish, the encounter lasts a little more than six minutes.

First, it's important to consider how the video was portrayed by the media. "Stalking" was the term chosen by most outlets to describe the cougar's behavior. "Aggressive" was also a favored characterization. While there's no doubt this was a terrifying experience for the hiker, anyone who seeks to understand wildlife behavior knows that is was equally terrifying for the cougar. Let's dismantle this notion immediately: Cougars don't show themselves in plain sight when "stalking" their prey. Cougars are stealthy predators, unseen and silent, until the final moment. This isn't a crazed beast looking for human lunch—cougars, like other predator species, want nothing to do with humans.

Nonetheless, the human encroached on this cougar's territory. Like any good mom, this cougar was clear in her request: Leave my kids alone, she'd have said if she could. Instead, she uses the language innate to her. She growls. She makes herself look larger than she is—turning her body to the side, as we often see our domestic cats do—showing her paws and running a few steps to tell him she's serious, and other-

wise, walking the hiker away from her little ones. The hiker, to be fair, does a good job doing as he's told. This isn't his first hike, and he's clearly educated on how to behave in such an encounter (though it would have been wiser to hike with a friend and carry bear spray).

Brent, your assertion that killing wildlife prevents this kind of encounter is baffling. Hunting and trapping aren't the answer here—education is. And it's not just the general public that needs to be educated, it's the media, too. "Stalking" and "aggressive" are inaccurate, sensational terms. If we're being generous, we might assume that ignorance is the source of that language; more likely, those terms are intentional, chosen to sell stories. Both the media and sportsmen know that language matters. If anyone should be accused of living in a "fantasy world," it's sportsmen themselves, who envision recreational killing as the only barrier between balance and chaos. Guess what? The animals do just fine without us. The environment thrives in the absence of people. And in situations where people and wildlife overlap—like a hiker encountering a cougar family—education saves both human and nonhuman lives.

Consider another recent example of a wild animal dubbed "inordinately aggressive" by the media. Gerald the turkey was a resident of a park in Oakland, CA, where he had begun to "attack" visitors. Headlines described Gerald's behavior as "terrorizing." But most assessments reveal that Gerald had lost his natural fear of humans after repeated feeding and even possible tormenting. (People feeding and/or wanting "selfies" with wild animals is a serious problem, perhaps meriting discussion in a future column.)

Wild individuals responding to inappropriate human behavior, or even to a human inadvertently encroaching on their territory, doesn't justify killing that individual or others of their kind. "They'll get me (or you!) if I don't get them" is a simplistic, erroneous mentality propagated by sportsmen and the state wildlife agencies which represent their interests. It has no basis in reality. Rather than fear-mongering, let's embrace education. Let's reject the language of sensationalized story-selling. Let's acknowledge that the cougar, the turkey, and all other wild lives vilified for the sake of the sportsmen's agenda have every right—as much right as you and I—to exist in their homes. One day, I hope, the law will reflect that.

C.A.S.H. CATALOG

A Voice in the Wilderness

Videotape approximately 20 minutes.
\$12.00 and \$8 for members.

This video is an expose of wildlife management by **Luke Dommer**, founder of C.A.S.H. It was produced by **Focus on Animals**, CT. **Esther Mechler** of Focus has generously given C.A.S.H. the right to sell this videotape. Copies have been generously donated by **Nancy Gordon of HAVE**, an audio-visual company in Hudson, NY.



Luke Dommer

CASH HAT

Neon Orange, one size fits all. \$7.50



C.A.S.H. T-SHIRT,

X-LARGE,
BRIGHT ORANGE—\$12.00



Front of shirt

HOW YOU CAN HELP US DO MORE:

- √ Become a member
- √ Include WILDLIFE WATCH, INC. in your will (you may earmark bequests for C.A.S.H.). Please include Wildlife Watch Inc. in your Will or Trust, and/or make Wildlife Watch one of the beneficiaries of your life insurance policy.
- √ Donate shares of stock, avoid capital gains, and get a tax deduction! Notify your broker or the company whose stock you hold. Ask them to transfer stock to Wildlife Watch, Inc. Federal Tax Identification Number: 13-3076705

A copy of our latest annual report may be obtained from Wildlife Watch, Inc., or from the Office of the Attorney General, Charities Bureau, 120 Broadway, New York, NY 10271.

PETER'S HUMOR? C.A.S.H. apologizes if you are not amused



We'd like to thank Lily Wolf for helping to find, adapt and invent some great stuff here.

Q. What's the difference between Betsy DeVos and a Grizzly Bear?

A. Betsy DeVos is an actual threat to school children.



Q. What's the most terrifying word a hunter can mutter while hunting with his kids?

A. "Oops!"



A hunter stumbled upon another hunter who was on the ground moaning. The hunter asked, "What happened to you?" The guy's jaw seemed to be broken, but after he was able to pull

himself together enough to sputter, he explained, "I was wondering why the mountain lion kept getting bigger and bigger...then I found out."



Q. What's fawn-colored and looks good on a hunter?

A. A mountain lion.



A mother and son were walking through a cemetery, and passed by a headstone inscribed "Here lies a man with a big heart and a good hunter." The little boy read the headstone, looked up at his mother, and asked, "Mommy, why did they bury two men there?"



Fans of Pete's Humor

www.ebaumsworld.com/pictures/view/557305/#

CONTACTS:

Jim Robertson, President

CASH@abolishsporthunting.com

Peter Muller, Vice President, C.A.S.H.

wildwatch@verizon.net

Anne Muller, Editor, C.A.S.H. Courier

wildwatch@verizon.net

Your generous contributions will help us to do much more.
Thank you for your support. Please visit:
www.abolishsporthunting.org



Wildlife Watch, Inc.
C.A.S.H. Committee To Abolish Sport Hunting
 PO Box 562
 New Paltz, NY 12561
 Phone: (845) 256-1400 Fax: (845) 501-3175
www.wildwatch.org
www.all-creatures.org/cash
www.canadageese.org



Nonprofit Org.
U.S. Postage
Paid
Newburgh, NY
Permit No. 473

 Cut out along this dotted line if returning order form



PLEASE CLIP OUT AND MAIL IN THE ENCLOSED REMITTANCE ENVELOPE:

- ☺ YES, I WANT TO HELP THE COMMITTEE TO ABOLISH SPORT HUNTING END THE WAR ON WILDLIFE:
- ☺ I AM HAPPY TO BECOME A Basic Plus Member for \$50 _____
I will receive the C.A.S.H. hat, return address labels, and newsletter. Check if you do not want a hat. _____
- ☺ I am happy to become a Basic member for \$35 _____
I will receive the C.A.S.H. newsletter and return address labels.
- ☺ I am happy to contribute but cannot become a member now _____
- ☺ **I would like to make an additional contribution for Wildlife Watch** _____

C.A.S.H. accepts MasterCard or Visa:

Card # _____
 Amount _____ Exp. Date: _____
 Signature _____

All donations are tax-deductible.

My e-mail address is _____
 You can also pay through PayPal at www.abolishsporthunting.org link to "Donate" Thank You!

C.A.S.H. CATALOG ORDER BLANK

Description	Qty	\$ Each	\$ Total

Your Name: _____
 Street: _____
 City: _____
 State: _____ Zip: _____
 Day Phone: _____
 Eve Phone: _____
 Fax: _____
 E-Mail: _____
 Date: _____
 Special Instructions: _____

 Ship to address below (if different from above):

Subtotal: _____
 Shipping and Handling: \$5.00
 NYS residents must add appropriate sales tax: _____
 Additional contribution to help
 C.A.S.H. carry on its work: _____
 Total: _____
 You can now pay with:
 Mastercard/Visa # _____
 Expir. Date: _____
 Signature: _____

Please make checks payable to: C.A.S.H. or Committee to Abolish Sport Hunting or Wildlife Watch, Inc.